ROUNDTABLE SUMMARY DAY 2 — AFTERNOON



- Don't burden field workers w/ paper & requirements
- Regulator needs to work w/ operators so constructive regulations are developed
- Develop common definition of asset integrity
- Develop a mgmt. process for A.I. including MOC & leadership
- Develop a set of performance indicators for A.I.
 & post on website (also data sharing)



- IRF to conduct non-enforcement audits
- Develop template for stds. & MOC
- IRF should work w/ industry leaders to identify performance issues



- Develop a failure registry to help facilitate data sharing
- IRF should not focus on creation of new documents rather promote leveraging of existing information, standards and practices
- Provide vehicle to promote collaboration on joint studies beneficial to multiple regions
- Expand and seek participation of others as it relates to offshore E&P activities
- Look at other association models that promote international collaboration on technical issues
- Consider compliance awareness training on regulatory regimes used by differing oil and gas producing states



- Develop a framework document detailing the context and principles of AI. (include a set of standard definitions, objectives and possible guidelines)
- Consult w/ stakeholders periodically
- Avoid being too specific and think systemically
- A draft document for endorsement should be available to all stakeholders at the next conference.



- Expedite the collection and normalization of safety data (website?) among IRF members and industry organizations and make this information openly accessible
- Create page on the IRF webpage to maintain ongoing debate and understanding on asset integrity.



- Offshore authorities to continue their efforts to align:
 - regulations while maintaining local needs
 - definition of asset integrity
 - implementation/enforcement/supervision of regulations
- Continue the dialogue and momentum to share experiences (both good and bad)
- Continue efforts to establish and implement common reporting criterias on HSE values
- Maintain pressure on industry to agree on standard on designlife extension

- Establish an organization, beyond the IRF Committee, that can actively manage the identified common objectives and efforts identified by these sessions and by the IRF Committee meetings
- As an IRF group or as individual country members, be more actively involved in the ISO and IEC standards development – eg. coordinate IRF members to actually sit on some of these committees to represent the interest of the IRF members
- Establish a working group, similar to the Lifting
 Working Group, that has a focus to develop a template
 that aids in the evaluation of an organizations structure
 and processes to evaluate if it is a competent
 organization



- Provide framework of regulation for new countries, this includes offering, best practices, regulation examples.
- Provide a consensus of regulatory practices that a new country can review and take the best choices for them.
- Share understanding of why regulators do what they do
- Bring the health and environmental aspect fully into the IRF to ensure a holistic/integrated approach to oil and gas operations
- Legislation of accountability when developing a new regulatory framework



- A working group from IRF should use recommendations from this conference and compare to the draft ISO standard 20815 to confirm if the standard is covering all relevant subjects.
- IRF should continue to meet in a similar forum in order to share experiences with non-member countries.



 Balance interest of regulators in HSE and conservation and operators business plans

